Chapter 7

Expressions and
Assignment Statements

Chapter 7 Topics

- Introduction
- Arithmetic Expressions
- Overloaded Operators
- Type Conversions
- Relational and Boolean Expressions
- Short-Circuit Evaluation
- Assignment Statements
- Mixed-Mode Assignment

Introduction

- Expressions are the fundamental means of specifying computations in a programming language
- To understand expression evaluation, need to be familiar with the orders of operator and operand evaluation
- Essence of imperative languages is dominant role of assignment statements

Arithmetic Expressions

- Arithmetic evaluation was one of the motivations for the development of the first programming languages
- Arithmetic expressions consist of operators, operands, parentheses, and function calls

Arithmetic Expressions: Design Issues

- Design issues for arithmetic expressions
  - Operator precedence rules?
  - Operator associativity rules?
  - Order of operand evaluation?
  - Operand evaluation side effects?
  - Operator overloading?
  - Type mixing in expressions?

Arithmetic Expressions: Operators

- A unary operator has one operand
- A binary operator has two operands
- A ternary operator has three operands

- A ternary operator has three operands // average = (count ==0) ? 0 : sum/count;

```
using System; /*Learning C# */
public class ThreeInputValues {
   static void Main()    {
      int valueOne = 10;
      int valueTwo = 20;
      int maxValue = valueOne > valueTwo ?  valueOne : valueTwo;
      Console.WriteLine(valueOne, valueTwo, maxValue);    } }
```

Arithmetic Expressions

- Types of operators
  - A unary operator has one operand:

    - x
  - A binary operator has two operands:

    x + y
    - Infix: operator appears between two operands
    - Prefix: operator precede their operands
  - A ternary operator has three operands:

    (x > 10)? 0 : 1

- Evaluation Order
  - Operator evaluation order
  - Operand evaluation order

Operator Evaluation Order

- Four rules to specify order of evaluation for operators
  - Operator precedence rules
    - Define the order in which the operators of different precedence levels are evaluated (e.g., + vs *)
  - Operator associativity rules
    - Define the order in which adjacent operators with the same precedence level are evaluated (e.g., left/right associative)
  - Parentheses
    - Precedence and associativity rules can be overriden with parentheses
  - Conditional Expressions (?: operator in C/C++/Perl)
    - Equivalent to if-then-else statement

Arithmetic Expressions: Operator Precedence Rules

- The *operator precedence rules* for expression evaluation define the order in which "adjacent" operators of different precedence levels are evaluated
- Typical precedence levels
  - parentheses
  - unary operators
  - ** (if the language supports it)
  - *, /
  - +, -

Example in C

```c
#include <stdio.h>
int fun (int *);
int
main ()
{
  int a, b, c, d;
  int n[5] = { 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 };
  int *ip = &n[0];
  printf ("%p %d \n", ip, *(ip + 3));
  printf ("%p %d \n", ip, *ip + 1);
  a = 7;
  b = 3;

  c = a % b * 4;
  printf ("%d \n", c);

  int count = 5;
  int count1 = -count++;    //-5
  printf ("%d \n", count1);
  count1 = -++count;        //-7
  printf ("%d \n", count1);
}
```

Arithmetic Expressions: Operator Associativity Rule

- The *operator associativity rules* for expression evaluation define the order in which adjacent operators with the same precedence level are evaluated
- Typical associativity rules
  - Left to right, except **, which is right to left
  - Sometimes unary operators associate right to left (e.g., in FORTRAN)
- APL is different; all operators have equal precedence and all operators associate right to left
- Precedence and associativity rules can be overriden with parentheses

2 3 ** 4 in Python:**
- (23)**4; or**
- 2(3**4);**

Expressions in Ruby and Scheme

- Ruby
  - ⇨ All arithmetic, relational, and assignment operators, as well as array indexing, shifts, and bit-wise logic operators, are implemented as methods
  - One result of this is that these operators can all be overriden by application programs
- Scheme (and Common Lisp)
  - All arithmetic and logic operations are by explicitly called subprograms
  - `a + b * c` is coded as `(+ a (* b c))`

Arithmetic Expressions: Conditional Expressions

- Conditional Expressions
  - C-based languages (e.g., C, C++)
  - An example:

```
average = (count == 0)? 0 : sum / count
```

  - Evaluates as if written as follows:

```
if (count == 0)
   average = 0
else
   average = sum /count
```

Arithmetic Expressions: Operand Evaluation Order

- *Operand evaluation order*
  1. Variables: fetch the value from memory
  2. Constants: sometimes a fetch from memory; sometimes the constant is in the machine language instruction
  3. Parenthesized expressions: evaluate all operands and operators first
  4. The most interesting case is when an operand is a function call

Arithmetic Expressions: Potentials for Side Effects

- *Functional side effects:* when a function changes a two-way parameter or a non-local variable

- Problem with functional side effects:
  - When a function referenced in an expression alters another operand of the expression; e.g., for a parameter change:

```
a = 10;
/* assume that fun changes its parameter */
b = a + fun(&a);
```

    - If fun does not have the side effect of changing a, then the order evaluation of the two operands, a and fun(a), does not matter
    - If fun does have the side effect of changing a, order of evaluation matters

Functional Side Effects

- Two possible solutions to the problem
  1. Write the language definition to disallow functional side effects
     - No two-way parameters in functions
     - No non-local references in functions
     - **Advantage:** it works!
     - **Disadvantage:** inflexibility of one-way parameters and lack of non-local references
  2. Write the language definition to demand that operand evaluation order be fixed
     - **Disadvantage**: limits some compiler optimizations
     - Java requires that operands appear to be evaluated in left-to-right order

Referential Transparency

- A program has the property of *referential transparency* if any two expressions in the program that have the same value can be substituted for one another anywhere in the program, without affecting the action of the program

```
result1 = (fun(a) + b) / (fun(a) - c);
temp = fun(a);
result2 = (temp + b) / (temp - c);
```

- If `fun` has no side effects, `result1 = result2`

  Otherwise, not, and referential transparency is violated

Referential Transparency (continued)

- Advantage of referential transparency
  - Semantics of a program is much easier to understand if it has referential transparency
- Because they do not have variables, programs in pure functional languages are referentially transparent
  - Functions cannot have state, which would be stored in local variables
  - If a function uses an outside value, it must be a constant (there are no variables). So, the value of a function depends only on its parameters

Overloaded Operators

- Use of an operator for more than one purpose is called *operator overloading*
- Some are common
  - E.g., use + for
    - integer addition and
    - floating-point addition,
    - concatenation
- C, C++, F#, Python and Ada allow user-defined overloaded operators
  - When sensibly used, such operators can be an aid to readability (avoid method calls, expressions appear natural)

Overloaded Operators

- Some drawbacks of operator overloading
  - Users can define nonsense operations
  - May affect readability
    - E.g., the ampersand (&) operator in C is used to specify
      - bitwise logical AND operation
      - Address of a variable
  - May affect reliability
    - Program does not behave the way we want
    - int x, y; float z; z = x / y
    - Problem can be avoided by introducing new symbols (e.g., Pascal's div for integer division and / for floating point division)
  - Loss of compiler error detection (omission of an operand should be a detectable error)

Type Conversions

- A *narrowing conversion* is one that converts an object to a type that cannot include all of the values of the original type

  e.g., `float` to `int`

- A *widening conversion* is one in which an object is converted to a type that can include at least approximations to all of the values of the original type e.g., `int` to `float`

Type Conversions: Mixed Mode

- A *mixed-mode expression* is one that has operands of different types
- A *coercion* is an implicit type conversion. It is useful for mixed-mode expression, which contains operands of different types
- Disadvantage of coercions:
  - They decrease in the type error detection ability of the compiler
- In most languages, all numeric types are coerced in expressions, using widening conversions
- In ML and F#, there are no coercions in expressions

Explicit Type Conversions

- Called *casting* in C-based languages
- Examples
  - `C: (int)angle`
  - `F#: float(sum)`
  - `Ada : Float(Index)`

Note that F#'s syntax is similar to that of function calls

Errors in Expressions

- Causes
  - Inherent limitations of arithmetic e.g., division by zero
  - Limitations of computer arithmetic e.g. overflow
- Often ignored by the run-time system

Relational and Boolean Expressions

- Relational operator is an operator that compares the values of its two operands
- Relational Expressions
  - Use relational operators and operands of various types
  - Evaluate to some Boolean representation
  - Operator symbols used vary somewhat among languages (`!=`, `/=`, `~=`, `.NE.`, `<>`, `#`)
- JavaScript and PHP have two additional relational operator, `===` and `!==`
  - Similar to their cousins, == and `!=`, except that they do not coerce their operands
    - E.g., "7" == 7 is true in Javascript but "7"===7 is false
  - Ruby uses == for equality relation operator that uses coercions and `eql?` for those that do not

Relational and Boolean Expressions

- Boolean Expressions
  - Consist of Boolean variables, Boolean constants, relational expressions, and Boolean operators
  - Operands are Boolean and the result is Boolean
- Boolean Operators:

FORTRAN 77	FORTRAN 90	C	Ada
.AND.	and	&&	and
.OR.	or	||	or
.NOT.	not	!	not

- C has no Boolean type--it uses `int` type with 0 for false and nonzero for true
- One odd characteristic of C's expressions: `a < b < c` is a legal expression, but the result is not what you might expect:
  - Left operator is evaluated, producing 0 or 1
  - The evaluation result is then compared with the third operand (i.e., `c`)

Short Circuit Evaluation

- An expression in which the result is determined without evaluating all of the operands and/or operators
- Short-circuit evaluation of an expression
  - Example: (13 * a) * (b / 13 – 1) If a is zero, there is no need to evaluate (b /13 - 1)
  - result is determined without evaluating all the operands & operators

```
int a = -1, b = 4;
if ((a > 0) && (b < 10)) {
        …
}
```

- Problem: suppose Java did not use short-circuit evaluation

```
index = 1;
while (index <= length) && (LIST[index] != value)
        index++;
```

When index=length, LIST[index] will cause an indexing problem (assuming LIST is length - 1 long)

Short Circuit Evaluation (continued)

- C, C++, and Java:
  - use short-circuit evaluation for usual Boolean operators (&& and ||),
  - also provide bitwise Boolean operators that are not short circuit (& and |)
- All logic operators in Ruby, Perl, ML, F#, and Python are short-circuit evaluated
- Short-circuit evaluation exposes the potential problem of side effects in expressions
  e.g. `(a > b) || (b++ / 3)`

Assignment Statements

- The general syntax

  `<target_var> <assign_operator> <expression>`

- The assignment operator

  = Fortran, BASIC, the C-based languages

  := Ada

- = can be bad when it is overloaded for the relational operator for equality (that's why the C-based languages use == as the relational operator)

  - e.g. (PL/I) A = B = C;

Assignment Statements: Conditional Targets

- Conditional targets (Perl)

```
($flag ? $total : $subtotal) = 0
```

Which is equivalent to

```
if ($flag){
  $total = 0
} else {
  $subtotal = 0
}
```

Assignment Statements: Compound Assignment Operators

- A shorthand method of specifying a commonly needed form of assignment
- Introduced in ALGOL; adopted by C and the C-based languaes
  - Example

```
a = a + b
```

can be written as

```
a += b
```

Assignment Statements: Unary Assignment Operators

- Unary assignment operators in C-based languages combine increment and decrement operations with assignment
- Examples

  `sum = ++count` (`count` incremented, then assigned to `sum`)

  `sum = count++` (`count` assigned to `sum`, then incremented

  `count++` (`count` incremented)

  `-count++` (`count` incremented then negated)

Assignment as an Expression

- In the C-based languages, Perl, and JavaScript, the assignment statement produces a result and can be used as an operand

```
while ((ch = getchar())!= EOF){...}
```

`ch = getchar()` is carried out; the result (assigned to `ch`) is used as a conditional value for the **`while`** statement

- Disadvantage: another kind of expression side effect

Assignment Statements

- C, C++, and Java treat = as an arithmetic binary operator
  - e.g. a = b * (c = d * 2 + 1) + 1
  - This is inherited from ALGOL 68

- Exercise: a=1, b=2, c=3, d=4

  a = b + (c = d / b++) – 1

  cout << a << “,” << b << “,” << c << “,” << d << endl

Multiple Assignments

- Perl, Ruby, and Lua allow multiple-target multiple-source assignments

```
($first, $second, $third) = (20, 30, 40);
```

Also, the following is legal and performs an interchange:

```
($first, $second) = ($second, $first);
```

- Multiple targets (PL/I)
  - A, B = 10

Assignment in Functional Languages

- Identifiers in functional languages are only names of values
- ML
  - Names are bound to values with **`val`**

```
val fruit = apples + oranges;
```

  - If another val for fruit follows, it is a new and different name
- F#
  - F#'s **`let`** is like ML's **`val`**, except **`let`** also creates a new scope

Mixed-Mode Assignment

- Assignment statements can also be mixed-mode
- In Fortran, C, Perl, and C++, any numeric type value can be assigned to any numeric type variable
- In Java and C#, only widening assignment coercions are done
- In Ada, there is no assignment coercion

Summary

- Expressions
- Operator precedence and associativity
- Operator overloading
- Mixed-type expressions
- Various forms of assignment